

THE ACTION BY NATURAL TRANSFORMATIONS OF A GROUP ON A DIAGRAM OF SPACES

RAFAEL VILLARROEL-FLORES

ABSTRACT. For $\mathbf{C}$ a G -category, we give a condition on a diagram of simplicial sets indexed on $\mathbf{C}$ that allows us to define a natural G -action on its homotopy colimit, and in some other simplicial sets and categories defined in terms of the diagram. Well-known theorems on homeomorphisms and homotopy equivalences are generalized to an equivariant version.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a group, and $\mathbf{C}$ be any small category. Consider a $\mathbf{C}$ -diagram of simplicial sets, where the values of the diagram have a G -action. Then several structures defined in terms of the diagram, like the colimit and the homotopy colimit, have an induced structure of G -object. However, it is often the case that one has a diagram $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ where $\mathbf{C}$ is a small G -category, $\mathbf{D}$ is an arbitrary category and the values of F do not necessarily have a G -action, however the homotopy colimit of F does have it. This situation was considered in [3], and independently, by this author in his Ph. D. thesis [9], where the concept of an action of a group G on a functor F by natural transformations is introduced. Here we define it formally in section 3, after the basic definitions in section 2.

We show that there are induced G -actions on colimits, coends, and bar and Grothendieck constructions of functors on which G acts by natural transformations. In section 4 we consider the homotopy colimit, and show some basic identities involving the constructions defined so far. In section 5 we prove the equivariant homotopy invariance of the bar construction. Finally, in section 6 we prove the equivariant versions of the four theorems listed in [1, p. 154] about the homotopy colimit. Some of them were noted in [3], however a mild additional hypothesis lets us obtain a more precise result.

Some of the proofs are as those in [2], adapted for the case of the group action. However we include more details than in the cited paper, given that homotopy colimit methods have recently been used by non-topologists, see for example [11].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let G be a finite group. We will denote by $\mathbf{G}$ the category with a single object $*$, in which $\text{hom}_{\mathbf{G}}(*, *) = G$ and the composition corresponds to group multiplication. For $n \geq 0$, let $[n]$ be the category associated to the poset $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with the usual order.

Date: 1st February 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 55P91; Secondary: 18A30, 55U10.

Key words and phrases. simplicial sets, homotopy colimit, equivariant homotopy type.

Let $\mathbf{SCat}$ be the category of small categories and $\mathbf{\Delta}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{SCat}$ with objects $\text{obj } \mathbf{\Delta} = \{[n] \mid n \geq 0\}$. If $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are categories, with $\mathbf{C}$ small, we denote by $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{C}}$ the category of functors $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ ([4, page 40]). The category of simplicial sets (see [5]), denoted $s\mathbf{Set}$, is equal to $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}}}$. The category of small G -categories is defined as $\mathbf{SCat}^G$. We identify a small G -category with the image of the functor $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$. From now on, $\mathbf{C}$ will denote a small G -category. Note that for each $g \in G$ we have a functor $g: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, and composition of functors correspond to group multiplication. We consider the nerve functor $N: \mathbf{SCat} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$, given by $\mathbf{C} \mapsto (\text{hom}_{\mathbf{SCat}}(-, \mathbf{C}): \mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set})$. The nerve functor sends G -categories to G -simplicial sets. There is also a *geometric realization* functor $|\cdot|: s\mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$, that sends G -simplicial sets to G -topological spaces. We denote $|N(\mathbf{C})|$ simply as $|\mathbf{C}|$.

If $\mathbf{D}$ is any category, an object D in it is called a G -object if there is a collection of $\mathbf{D}$ -maps $\{g: D \rightarrow D\}$, indexed by the elements of G , such that the map corresponding to the identity element is the identity, and composition of maps corresponds to group multiplication.

If X and Y are G -topological spaces, a G -homotopy from X to Y is a continuous map $H: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ such that $H(gx, t) = gH(x, t)$ for all $g \in G$, $x \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Two G -maps $f_1, f_2: X \rightarrow Y$ are G -homotopic if there is a G -homotopy H from X to Y such that $H(x, 0) = f_1(x)$ and $H(x, 1) = f_2(x)$. In this case we write $f_1 \simeq_G f_2$. The G -topological spaces X and Y are G -homotopy equivalent if there are G -maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $f': Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f'f \simeq_G 1_X$ and $ff' \simeq_G 1_Y$.

We say that two G -categories $\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2$ are G -homotopy equivalent if the spaces $|\mathbf{C}_1|, |\mathbf{C}_2|$ are. It is not required that the map $|\mathbf{C}_1| \rightarrow |\mathbf{C}_2|$ defining the homotopy equivalence is induced from a functor $\mathbf{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_2$.

If we have a functor $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ together with natural transformations $\{\eta_g: F \rightarrow Fg\}_{g \in G}$ such that η_1 is the identity and $\eta_{gg'} = \eta_g \eta_{g'}$, Jackowski and Słomińska call F a *right G -functor* [3]. Independently, I defined and used the same concept in my Ph. D. thesis [9], and said that in such situation, G *acts on F by natural transformations*, or simply that G *acts on* the functor F . In this paper, we will use both terms indistinctly.

In the case that $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are small G -categories, and $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a functor such that $F(gC) = gF(C)$, $F(g\phi) = gF(\phi)$ for all $g \in G$, $C \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$ and all $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms ϕ , we will say that F is an *equivariant functor*.

3. DEFINITION AND EXAMPLES

We define now our main subject of study in detail:

Definition 3.1. Let $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ a functor, where $\mathbf{C}$ is a small G -category and $\mathbf{D}$ is an arbitrary category. Suppose that we are given a family of $\mathbf{D}$ -maps $\eta = \{\eta_{g,X}: F(X) \rightarrow F(gX)\}$ indexed by $g \in G$ and $X \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$ such that

- (1) $\eta_{1,X} = 1_{F(X)}$ for all $X \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$,
- (2) $\eta_{g_1, g_2 X} \circ \eta_{g_2, X} = \eta_{g_1 g_2, X}$ for any $X \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$, $g_1, g_2 \in G$,
- (3) $\eta_{g,Y} \circ F(f) = F(gf) \circ \eta_{g,X}$ for any $g \in G$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a map in $\mathbf{C}$.

Then, we will say that the family η defines an action of G on the functor F , or more succinctly, that G acts on the functor F , or, following [3], that F is a right G -functor.

Definition 3.2. Let $F_1, F_2: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ be two functors on which G acts, by η^1, η^2 respectively. A morphism of functors with G -action is a natural transformation $\epsilon: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ such that $\eta_{g,X}^2 \circ \epsilon_X = \epsilon_{gX} \circ \eta_{g,X}^1$ for all $g \in G, X \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$.

As it is mentioned in [3] and [9], the usefulness of this concept lies on the fact that, when G acts on F , there is a natural action of G on the simplicial set $\text{hocolim } F$, and in several other structures defined in terms of F . On the other hand, it is often the case that we can derive a functor on which G acts by natural transformations from a G -object. We show some examples.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ be G -categories, and $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ an equivariant functor. Then, for $D, D' \in \text{obj } \mathbf{D}$, we have a category $D \setminus F / D'$ with objects $\text{obj}(D \setminus F / D') = \left\{ (u, C, v) \mid C \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}, D \xrightarrow{u} FC \xrightarrow{v} D' \right\}$, and a morphism $p: (u, C, v) \rightarrow (u', C', v')$ given by a $\mathbf{C}$ -map $p: C \rightarrow C'$ such that $F(p) \circ u = u'$ and $v' \circ F(p) = v$.

There is a functor $\mathbf{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$ defined on objects by $(D, D') \mapsto D \setminus F / D'$. If $(\phi, \psi): (D, D') \rightarrow (E, E')$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{D}$, the associated functor $D \setminus F / D' \rightarrow E \setminus F / E'$ sends (u, C, v) to $(u\phi, C, \psi v)$.

Then $\mathbf{D}^{\text{op}}$ and $\mathbf{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{D}$ have an obvious structure of G -categories, and there is an action of G on the functor $\mathbf{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$ we just defined: for $g \in G$, set $\eta_{g,(D,D')}$ as the functor $D \setminus F / D' \rightarrow gD \setminus F / gD'$ given by $(u, C, v) \mapsto (gu, gC, gv)$. Note, for example, that for $u: D \rightarrow F(C)$, we have that $gu: gD \rightarrow gF(C) = F(gC)$.

In this context, we can also define categories $D \setminus F$ and F / D with the obvious objects and morphisms, and obtain functors $\mathbf{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}, \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$ with a G -action. If $\nu: F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ is an equivariant natural transformation (i.e. a natural transformation such that $g\nu_C = \nu_{gC}$), then there is an induced morphism of right G -functors $\bar{\nu}: - \setminus F_1 \rightarrow - \setminus F_2$, given by $\bar{\nu}_D: D \setminus F_1 \rightarrow D \setminus F_2, (u, C) \mapsto (\nu_C u, C)$.

Example 3.4. Again, let $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ be G -categories, and $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ an equivariant functor. There is a functor $\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ defined on objects by $(X, Y) \mapsto \text{hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(FX, FY)$ and on morphisms by $(\phi, \psi) \mapsto (f \mapsto F\phi \circ f \circ F\psi)$. It has a G -action defined by $\eta_{g,(X,Y)}: \text{hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(FX, FY) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(gFX, gFY), f \mapsto gf$.

Since any set X can be considered as a simplicial set Y such that $Y_n = X$ for all n and all faces and degeneracies equal to the identity, we can as well consider the last functor as taking values in the category of simplicial sets.

Example 3.5. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a G -category and $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ a right G -functor with G -action given by η . Assume that F has a colimit, that is, there is an object $\text{colim } F$ in $\mathbf{D}$ and a collection of $\mathbf{D}$ -maps $\{\rho_X: FX \rightarrow \text{colim } F\}_{X \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}}$ that form a limiting cone from F with base $\text{colim } F$ (see for example [4, p. 67]). Let $g \in G$. Then the natural transformation $F \rightarrow Fg$ induces a map $g: \text{colim } F \rightarrow \text{colim } Fg \cong \text{colim } F$ such that $\rho_{gX} \circ \eta_{g,X} = g \circ \rho_X$ for all $X \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$. It can be shown that the collection of maps $\{g: \text{colim } F \rightarrow \text{colim } F\}_{g \in G}$ give an structure of G -object on $\text{colim } F$. Furthermore, if Z is any G -object in $\mathbf{D}$ and there is a cone $\{\sigma_X: FX \rightarrow Z\}$ from F to Z such that $\sigma_{gX} \circ \eta_{g,X} = g \circ \sigma_X$ for all $g \in G$ and all $X \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$, then the map induced by the properties of the colimit $M: \text{colim } F \rightarrow Z$ is in fact equivariant.

For example, if $\mathbf{C}$ is a discrete small G -category, then it can be identified with a G -set. A functor $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ corresponds to a collection of $\mathbf{D}$ -objects, indexed by the objects of $\mathbf{C}$. If F is a right G -functor, then $\text{colim } F = \coprod_{C \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}} F(C)$ is a G -object.

As a particular case, consider $H \leq G$ a subgroup, and let $\mathbf{C}$ be the discrete small G -category with object set $G//H = \{a_1H, a_2H, \dots, a_nH\}$, that is, the set of left

cosets of H in G with the usual action by left translation, where $a_1 = 1$. Let Z be an H -simplicial set, and consider the constant functor $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ with value Z . We define a G -action η on F as follows: Let $\eta_{g,H}: F(H) \rightarrow F(gH)$ be defined as $z \mapsto hz$, where $g = a_i h$, $h \in H$; and then $\eta_{g,aH}(z) = \eta_{ga,H}(z)$. It is straightforward to check that this defines an action of G on F , and so $\text{colim } F$ is a G -simplicial set. This construction is usually known as the induced action from H to G . We will denote $\text{colim } F$ in this case as $Z \uparrow_H^G$.

We also note that a morphism of right G -functors induces an equivariant map between the corresponding colimits of the functors.

Example 3.6. In a similar way, if $Z: \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a right G -functor with a coend (see [4, p. 226]) with defining maps $\alpha_C: Z(C, C) \rightarrow \text{coend } Z$, then $\text{coend } Z$ becomes a G -object, with action satisfying $\alpha_{gC} \circ \eta_{g,(C,C)} = g \circ \alpha_C$.

For example, let $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$, $T: \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ be functors, with actions of G on both F and T , given by η^F, η^T . Then $Z = F \times T$ is a right G -functor $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$. Its coend is a G -simplicial set denoted by $F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} T$.

As in the case of limits, a morphism of right G -functors induces an equivariant map between the corresponding coends.

Example 3.7. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a G -category and $Z: \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ a functor, with an action of G on Z given by η . We have a simplicial set $B(\mathbf{C}, Z)$, called the (simplicial) *bar construction* (see [6]), such that

$$(1) \quad B(\mathbf{C}, Z)_n = \coprod_{X_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_n} X_n \in N(\mathbf{C})_n} Z(X_0, X_n)_n$$

$$(2) \quad = \{ (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n; z) \mid z \in Z(X_0, X_n)_n \}$$

with boundaries and degeneracies given by:

$$(3) \quad d^i(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n; z) = \begin{cases} (\phi_2, \dots, \phi_n; d^0(Z(\phi_1, 1_{X_n})(z))) & i = 0, \\ (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{i+1}\phi_i, \dots, \phi_n; d^i z) & 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}; d^n(Z(1_{X_0}, \phi_n)(z))) & i = n \end{cases}$$

$$(4) \quad s^i(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n; z) = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i, 1_{X_i}, \phi_{i+1}, \dots, \phi_n; s^i z), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

The action of G on Z gives a structure of G -simplicial set on $B(\mathbf{C}, Z)$, with action of $g \in G$ defined as:

$$(5) \quad g(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n; z) = (g\phi_1, \dots, g\phi_n; \eta_{g,(X_0, X_n)}(z))$$

If the functor Z is of the form $F \times T$ as in the previous example, then we denote $B(\mathbf{C}, Z)$ as $B(F, \mathbf{C}, T)$.

Example 3.8. Let $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$ be a functor. We define a category $\mathbf{C} \int F$ with objects the pairs (X, a) with $X \in \text{obj } \mathbf{C}$, $a \in \text{obj } F(X)$. A map $(X, a) \rightarrow (Y, b)$ is given by a pair (f, u) such that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map in $\mathbf{C}$ and $u: F(f)(a) \rightarrow b$ is a map in the category $F(Y)$. The category $\mathbf{C} \int F$ is called the *Grothendieck Construction* on F (see [7]).

If $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$ is a right G -functor, then $\mathbf{C} \int F$ is a small G -category with action on objects given by

$$(6) \quad g(X, a) = (gX, \eta_{g,X}(a))$$

and on maps by

$$(7) \quad g((X, a) \xrightarrow{(f, u)} (Y, b)) = (gf, \eta_{g, Y}(u))$$

We end this section by stating some basic and easily provable properties of right G -functors.

Proposition 3.9. *If $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is functor with a G -action given by η and X is an object in $\mathbf{C}$, then FX is a G_X -object, where G_X is the stabilizer of X under the action of G on $\text{obj } \mathbf{C}$. The action is defined by the maps $\eta_{g, X}: FX \rightarrow FX$.*

Proposition 3.10. ((2.3) from [3]) *Let $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ be a right G -functor, $S: \mathbf{C}' \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ an equivariant functor, and $T: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ any functor. Then both $F \circ S$ and $T \circ F$ have induced structures of right G -functors.*

For example, for any G -category $\mathbf{C}$, we have a right G -functor $N(-\backslash \mathbf{C}): \mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$.

4. THE HOMOTOPY COLIMIT

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a G -category and $Z: \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ a right G -functor. We start by noting the equivariant isomorphism:

$$(8) \quad Z \otimes_{\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}}} N(-\backslash \mathbf{C}/-) \cong_G B(\mathbf{C}, Z),$$

which can be proven by showing that $B(\mathbf{C}, Z)$ satisfies the definition of coend of the functor $Z \times N(-\backslash \mathbf{C}/-): (\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}}) \times (\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$. In the case that $Z = F \times T$ with $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$, $T: \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ are right G -functors, and using Fubini's theorem for coends [4, p. 230], this leads to

$$(9) \quad F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} N(-\backslash \mathbf{C}/-) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} T \cong_G B(F, \mathbf{C}, T).$$

Using that, we can prove that for right G -functors $F: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$, $T: \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ and $U: \mathbf{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$, we have

$$(10) \quad B(B(F, \mathbf{C}, T), \mathbf{D}, U) \cong_G B(F, \mathbf{C}, B(T, \mathbf{D}, U)),$$

whose non-equivariant version is 3.1.3 from [2].

If $\mathbf{C}$ is any G -category, we will denote by $*$ the functor $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ that is constant with value the simplicial set with exactly one simplex in each dimension. It is clearly has a structure of right G -functor.

Definition 4.1. Let $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ a functor. Its *homotopy colimit* $\text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} F$ is defined as $F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} N(-\backslash \mathbf{C})$.

If F is a right G -functor, then $Z = F \times N(-\backslash \mathbf{C})$ has a natural structure of right G -functor, so in this case $\text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} F = \text{coend } Z$ is a G -simplicial set.

Note that the map of right G -functors $N(-\backslash \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow *$ induces an equivariant map

$$(11) \quad \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} F = F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} N(-\backslash \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} * = \text{colim } F,$$

and the map of right G -functors $F \rightarrow *$ induces an equivariant map

$$(12) \quad \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} F = F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} N(-\backslash \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow N(-\backslash \mathbf{C}) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} * = N(\mathbf{C})$$

One also can prove the isomorphism of right G -functors:

$$(13) \quad N(-\backslash \mathbf{C}/-) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}}} * \cong N(-\backslash \mathbf{C})$$

which leads to the equivariant isomorphism:

$$(14) \quad B(F, \mathbf{C}, *) \cong_G \operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} F.$$

Finally, we note that just by categorical arguments, one obtains:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $S: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an equivariant functor between G -categories, and let $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ a right G -functor. Then, with the induced right G -functor structure on $F \circ S$, we have:*

- (1) $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(C, S-) \otimes_{\mathbf{D}} N(- \setminus \mathbf{D}) \cong B(\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(C, S-), \mathbf{D}, *) \cong N(C \setminus S)$ as right G -functors on the argument C .
- (2) $(F \circ S)(D) \cong F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(-, SD) \cong B(F, \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(-, SD))$, as right G -functors on D .

As a consequence of this proposition, if we take $S = 1_{\mathbf{C}}$ to be the identity functor, we obtain that

$$(15) \quad B(\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(C, -), \mathbf{C}, *) \cong_{G_C} N(C \setminus \mathbf{C}) \simeq_{G_C} *,$$

for all $C \in \operatorname{obj} \mathbf{C}$, since $C \setminus \mathbf{C}$ has an initial object $1_C: C \rightarrow C$ fixed by G_C ([10, (4.3)]).

5. THE HOMOTOPY INVARIANCE THEOREM

The proofs of the theorems of the next section are based on this important theorem. The reader may refer to [8] for the properties of induced topological spaces.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $Z, Z': \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ two right G -functors. Let $\epsilon: Z \rightarrow Z'$ be a map of right G -functors such that $\epsilon_{(X,Y)}: Z(X,Y) \rightarrow Z'(X,Y)$ is a $G_{(X,Y)}$ -homotopy equivalence for all $X \in \operatorname{obj} \mathbf{C}, Y \in \operatorname{obj} \mathbf{C}^{\operatorname{op}}$. Then the map $\bar{\epsilon}$ induced by ϵ :*

$$(16) \quad \bar{\epsilon}: B(\mathbf{C}, Z) \rightarrow B(\mathbf{C}, Z')$$

is a G -homotopy equivalence.

Proof. From [6], we know that $B(\mathbf{C}, Z)$ is the diagonal of a bisimplicial set $\tilde{B}(\mathbf{C}, Z)$ with (m, n) -simplices the set

$$(17) \quad \coprod_{X_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_m} X_m} Z(X_0, X_m)_n.$$

From the examples, we know that this coproduct has an action of G given by:

$$(18) \quad g(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m; z) = (g\phi_1, \dots, g\phi_m; \eta_{g, (X_0, X_m)}(z)),$$

and this makes $\tilde{B}(\mathbf{C}, Z)$ a bisimplicial G -set. We have that ϵ induces a map $\tilde{\epsilon}: \tilde{B}(\mathbf{C}, Z) \rightarrow \tilde{B}(\mathbf{C}, Z')$, sending

$$(19) \quad (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m; z) \mapsto (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m; \epsilon_{(X_0, X_m)}(z)),$$

The map $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is equivariant, and so if we define $\bar{\epsilon}$ as $\operatorname{diag} \tilde{\epsilon}$, then $\bar{\epsilon}$ is equivariant as well.

Let us denote $X_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_m} X_m \in N(\mathbf{C})_m$ by $\bar{X}$. According to Theorem (3.8) from [10], in order to prove that $\bar{\epsilon}$ is a G -homotopy equivalence, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(20) \quad \tilde{\epsilon}_{m,-} : \coprod_{\bar{X} \in N(\mathbf{C})_m} Z(X_0, X_m) \rightarrow \coprod_{\bar{X} \in N(\mathbf{C})_m} Z'(X_0, X_m)$$

is a G -homotopy equivalence for all m . Taking geometric realization on both sides of (20), since geometric realization commutes with coproducts, we obtain:

$$(21) \quad |\tilde{\epsilon}_{m,-}| : \coprod_{\bar{X} \in N(\mathbf{C})_m} |Z(X_0, X_m)| \rightarrow \coprod_{\bar{X} \in N(\mathbf{C})_m} |Z'(X_0, X_m)|$$

Let E_m be a set of representatives for the orbits of the action of G on $N(\mathbf{C})_m$. Then the map in (21) can be written as:

$$(22) \quad |\tilde{\epsilon}_{m,-}| \uparrow_{G_{\bar{Y}}}^G : \coprod_{\bar{Y} \in E_m} |Z(X_0, X_m)| \uparrow_{G_{\bar{Y}}}^G \rightarrow \coprod_{\bar{Y} \in E_m} |Z'(X_0, X_m)| \uparrow_{G_{\bar{Y}}}^G$$

Since by hypothesis, each $\epsilon_{(X_0, X_m)}$ is a $G_{(X_0, X_m)}$ -homotopy equivalence, given that $G_{\bar{Y}} \leq G_{(X_0, X_m)}$, they are also $G_{\bar{Y}}$ -homotopy equivalences, and so each map $|Z(X_0, X_m)| \uparrow_{G_{\bar{Y}}}^G \rightarrow |Z'(X_0, X_m)| \uparrow_{G_{\bar{Y}}}^G$ is a G -homotopy equivalence. Therefore the map in (22) is a coproduct of G -homotopy equivalences, hence a G -homotopy equivalence, as we wanted to prove. $\square$

6. FURTHER THEOREMS

Theorem 6.1. (Equivariant Homotopy Invariance Of The Homotopy Colimit). *Let $F, F' : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ right G -functors, and $\epsilon : F \rightarrow F'$ a map of right G -functors such that each $\epsilon_X : FX \rightarrow F'X$ is a G_X -homotopy equivalence. Then the induced map $\bar{\epsilon} : \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} F \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} F'$ is a G -homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Straightforward from Theorem 5.1, since the homotopy colimit is a special case of a bar construction. $\square$

Theorem 6.2. (Reduction Theorem) *Let $S : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an equivariant functor between G -categories, and let $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ a right G -functor. Then we have the equivariant isomorphism.*

$$(23) \quad \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{D}} F \circ S \cong_G F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} N(-\backslash S)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{D}} F \circ S &= (F \circ S) \otimes_{\mathbf{D}} N(-\backslash \mathbf{D}) && \text{Definition of hocolim} \\ &\cong_G (F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \text{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(-, SD)) \otimes_{\mathbf{D}} N(-\backslash \mathbf{D}) && \text{Proposition 4.2.2} \\ &\cong_G F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} (\text{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(C, S-) \otimes_{\mathbf{D}} N(-\backslash \mathbf{D})) && \text{Fubini's theorem} \\ &\cong_G F \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} N(-\backslash S) && \text{Proposition 4.2.1} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In [3, (2.6)], this result is given as a homotopy equivalence. However, as noted in [2, 4.4], this is even an isomorphism, which in this case is equivariant.

Theorem 6.3. (Cofinality Theorem) *Let $S : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an equivariant functor between G -categories, and let $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ a right G -functor. Consider the induced right G -functor structure on $F \circ S$. If $N(C \backslash S)$ is G_C -contractible for all objects C in $\mathbf{C}$, then $\text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{D}} F \circ S \simeq_G \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} F$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{D}} F \circ S &= B(F \circ S, \mathbf{D}, *) && \text{Equation 14} \\
&= B(B(F, \mathbf{C}, \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(-, SD)), \mathbf{D}, *) && \text{Proposition 4.2.2} \\
&\cong_G B(F, \mathbf{C}, B(\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(C, S-), \mathbf{D}, *)) && \text{Equation 10} \\
&\cong_G B(F, \mathbf{C}, N(- \setminus S)) && \text{Proposition 4.2.1} \\
&\simeq_G B(F, \mathbf{C}, *) = \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} F && \text{Hypothesis} \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

We note that the hypothesis about $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ -contractibility of the fiber $N(C \setminus S)$ allows us to conclude the G -homotopy. Compare with [3, (2.7)], where this result is given as a homotopy equivalence not necessarily equivariant.

Theorem 6.4. (Homotopy Pushdown Theorem) *Let $S: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an equivariant functor and $F: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ a right G -functor. Let $S_{h*}(F): \mathbf{C} \rightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ the functor given by $C \mapsto B(F, \mathbf{D}, \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(S-, C))$. Then $S_{h*}(F)$ is a right G -functor and $\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} S_{h*}(F) \simeq_G \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{D}} F$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{C}} S_{h*}(F) &= B(B(F, \mathbf{D}, \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(S-, C)), \mathbf{C}, *) && \text{Definition} \\
&\cong_G B(F, \mathbf{D}, B(\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(SD, -), \mathbf{C}, *)) && \text{Equation 10} \\
&\simeq_G B(F, \mathbf{D}, *) = \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{D}} F && \text{Equation 15} \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we also used the equivariant homotopy invariance (Theorem 5.1) of the bar construction in the last step. Hence in [3, (2.5)] we do have a G -homotopy equivalence.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *A classification theorem for diagrams of simplicial sets*, Topology **23** (1984), no. 2, 139–155. MR **86c**:55010a
- [2] J. Hollender and R. M. Vogt, *Modules of topological spaces, applications to homotopy limits and E_{∞} structures*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **59** (1992), no. 2, 115–129. MR **93e**:55015
- [3] Stefan Jackowski and Jolanta Słomińska, *G -functors, G -posets and homotopy decompositions of G -spaces*, Fund. Math. **169** (2001), no. 3, 249–287. MR **2002h**:55017
- [4] Saunders Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. MR **2001j**:18001
- [5] J. Peter May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967.
- [6] Jean-Pierre Meyer, *Bar and cobar constructions. I*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **33** (1984), no. 2, 163–207. MR **86g**:18010
- [7] R. W. Thomason, *Homotopy colimits in the category of small categories*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **85** (1979), no. 1, 91–109. MR **80b**:18015
- [8] Tammo tom Dieck, *Transformation groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1987.
- [9] Rafael Villarroel-Flores, *Equivariant homotopy type of categories and preordered sets*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Minnesota, 1999.
- [10] ———, *Homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets with a group action*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3) **6** (2000), no. 2, 247–262. MR **1** 810 853
- [11] Volkmar Welker, Günter M. Ziegler, and Rade T. Živaljević, *Homotopy colimits—comparison lemmas for combinatorial applications*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **509** (1999), 117–149. MR **2000b**:55010

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNAM (UNIDAD CUERNAVACA), AV. UNIVERSIDAD S/N, COL. LOMAS DE CHAMILPA, C. P. 62210 CUERNAVACA, MORELOS, MEXICO